

E+ Guidelines for assessment of beneficiary final reports for KA103 mobility projects for single HEI beneficiaries and mobility consortia

Assessment criteria for the beneficiary final reports

At the end of each assessment criterion, the relevant section in the final beneficiary report and the maximum total points for that question are indicated.

Assessment criteria:

1. Quality of the project implementation - qualitative objectives: the extent to which the original qualitative objectives of the project were met (related to the ECHE commitments and, where applicable, the mobility consortium accreditation) – *Maximum 40 points:*

1.1 Did the implementation of the mobility project respect the requirements set out in the ECHE?

In particular, did the beneficiary efficiently implement the following ECHE provisions and how did the beneficiary resolve any related difficulties:

- Support to participants (information, selection, preparation, monitoring and specific support)
- Linguistic support
- Recognition of learning outcomes
- Cooperation with transnational partners (setting up of inter-institutional agreements, information, communication)

In order to evaluate this question, please refer to the following sections of the final beneficiary report: "Description of the Project", "Implementation of the Project : Practical Arrangements and Project

Management, linguistic support, other support", "Participant Feedback on Erasmus Charter Provisions", "Compliance with the Erasmus Charter for Higher Education". Maximum score is 30 points.

1.2 The extent to which the outbound and inbound participants were very satisfied or rather satisfied with their mobility experience in general and relevance of the beneficiary's explanations.

In order to evaluate this question, please refer to the following section of the final beneficiary report: "Feedback on General Issues". Maximum score is 10 points.

- 1.3 Question relating exclusively to consortium projects: Did the participating organisations of the consortium effectively and efficiently cooperate and contribute to the project in line with the approved mobility consortium accreditation application?

In order to evaluate this question, please refer to the following section of the final beneficiary report: "Description of the Project". Maximum score for this question is 5 points while the score of the ECHE compliance question 1.1. is reduced to 25 points.

2. Quality of the project implementation – quantitative objectives: the extent to which the original quantitative objectives of the project were met – *Maximum 40 points*:

- 2.1. The extent to which the planned mobility activities (as set out in the grant agreement) were achieved or exceeded and relevance of the beneficiary's explanations.

In order to evaluate this question, please refer to the following section of the final beneficiary report: "Description of the Project", "Activities – Overview of Activities". Maximum score is 30 points.

- 2.2. The extent to which the mobility grant budget (as set out in the grant agreement) was efficiently managed by the beneficiary to deliver the planned mobility activities (or even more) and relevance of the beneficiary's explanations.

In order to evaluate this question, please refer to the following section of the final beneficiary report: "Budget". Maximum score is 10 points.

3. Impact and dissemination – *Maximum 20 points*:

- 3.1. The relevance of the expected impact as described in the section "Learning outcomes and impact".

Maximum score is 10 points.

- 3.2. The relevance of the dissemination activities as described in the section "Dissemination of Project Results".

Maximum score is 10 points.

* * *